

**【靜宜大學加強實質審查期刊說明】**

- 1、111學年度第3次學術審查委員會(111/11/23)決議：通過比照臺灣大學醫學院作法針對其公告之類別一及類別二期刊加強實質審查。著作獎勵審查同步適用，教師申請升等或獎勵時即應檢附與期刊主編往來書信證明。
- 2、111學年度第2學期第1次校教師評審委員會(112/03/02)決議：112學年度(112.08.01)起適用。
- 3、本表資料來源：  
國立臺灣大學醫學院•研究發展分處  
有關醫學院「加強實質審查期刊」資訊，供參考(2023-05-02)。  
[http://rd.mc.ntu.edu.tw/bomrd/ntu2/news\\_txt.asp?pno=5512](http://rd.mc.ntu.edu.tw/bomrd/ntu2/news_txt.asp?pno=5512)

**【類別二】**

期刊官網中明敘「不以審查人認定之科學重要性作為論文接受與否之依據」。  
右方欄位之文句係摘自期刊官網，括號內以底線標示之文字為院方(臺大醫學院)註記。

【類別二】加強實質審查期刊名稱	出版社	Editorial Policy/Scope of the Journal/Reviewer Guide
ACS Omega	American Chemical Society	ACS Omega is an open-access global publication for scientific articles that describe new findings in chemistry and interfacing areas of science, without any perceived evaluation of immediate impact.
AIP Advances	American Institute of Physics	Our Editors, assisted by peer review, determine whether a manuscript is technically correct and original. After publication, the readership evaluates whether a manuscript is timely, relevant, or significant.
Biology Open	The Company of Biologists	Reviewers are asked to confirm that the experimental work is properly conducted and that the conclusions are adequately supported by the data. We do not require any assessment of the significance, relative importance or impact of a paper. However, the paper should clearly address a non-trivial scientific question. Editors will also consider Methods & Techniques papers, papers on computational analysis, replication studies, and rigorous reports presenting negative results.
BMC Research Notes	BioMed Central Ltd.	The BMC Series subject-specific journals do not make editorial decisions on the basis of the interest of a study or its likely impact. Studies must be scientifically valid, technically sound and make an original contribution to the literature.
BMJ Open	BMJ Publishing Group Ltd	All articles published in BMJ Open will have been sent for external, open peer review. Reviewers will not be asked to judge importance or breadth of appeal. Readers will be able to make these judgements for themselves.

【類別二】加強實質審查期刊名稱	出版社	Editorial Policy/Scope of the Journal/Reviewer Guide
Cureus (又名《Cureus Journal of Medical Science》)	Cureus, Inc.	All authors should invite unbiased and expert reviewers who will provide critical feedback. Two completed reviews are required in order to proceed, one of which must be from a reviewer invited by Cureus. This requirement will be waived after 21 days if two author-invited reviews have been submitted. (Reviewers可由作者自行邀請。可由作者自行邀請。)
Heliyon	Cell Press	Your research will be judged on technical soundness only, not on its perceived impact as judged by editors or referees.
FEBS Open Bio	Wiley	The journal's rigorous peer review process focusses on the technical and ethical quality of papers, rather than objective judgements of significance.
F1000 Research	F1000 Research Ltd.	Articles must be original (not duplications). All research is suitable irrespective of the perceived level of interest or novelty; we welcome confirmatory and negative results, as well as null studies.
GigaScience	Oxford Academic	Built upon the principles of open and FAIR data, reproducibility, usability and utility are our key criteria for publication rather than subjective assessments of impact.
IEEE Access	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers	Unlike IEEE's traditional Transactions or Journals, reviews are "binary", in that reviewers will either Accept or Reject an article in the form it is submitted in order to achieve rapid turnaround. (No mandatory revision is requested by the journal, which may compromise quality.)
Medicine	Lippincott Williams & Wilkins	Reviewers will be asked to respond to the following questions before submitting a review: Is the manuscript technically sound, and the data support the conclusion? Has the statistical analysis been performed appropriately and rigorously? Does the manuscript adhere to standards in this field for data availability? Is the manuscript presented in an intelligible fashion and written in standard English? (Originality and impact are not evaluated by reviewers.)
PeerJ	PeerJ Inc	PeerJ judges content only on scientific and methodological soundness. It does not, for example, reject articles based on lack of novelty, interest or impact.
PLoS One	Public Library of Science	We evaluate research on scientific validity, strong methodology, and high ethical standards—not perceived significance.

【類別二】加強實質審查期刊名稱	出版社	Editorial Policy/Scope of the Journal/Reviewer Guide
QScience Connect	HBKU Press	We aim to decrease the burden on peer reviewers, by making our requests to them as simple as possible. We simply ask them to determine if the work presented has been carried out in an appropriate way and that the results, positive or negative, are clear and accessible.
Royal Society Open Science	The Royal Society	The journal covers the entire range of science and mathematics and allows the Society to publish all the high-quality work it receives without the usual restrictions on scope, length or impact.
SAGE Open Medicine	SAGE Publications Inc	SAGE Open Medicine seeks to be one of the world's premier open access outlets for medical academic research. As such, SAGE Open Medicine does not limit content due to page budgets or thematic significance.
Scientific Reports	Nature Research	At Scientific Reports, we focus on ensuring that all papers we publish are of high technical quality, and let the scientific community determine the impact of your work.
The Scientific World Journal	Hindawi	In the spirit of sharing findings through our open science mission, emphasis is not placed on novelty, interest, or perceived impact. Replication studies, particularly of research published in this journal, are encouraged.